

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. Do. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/745,132	12/20/2000	Akira Osamato	TI-29873	6611		
23494	7590 05/18/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	TRUMENTS INCORPO	WILSON, JAC	WILSON, JACQUELINE B			
DALLAS, T	i474, M/S 3999 X 75265	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
•			2612			
			DATE MAILED: 05/18/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A-ntication At		Applicant(s)			
		Application N			•		
Office Action Summary		09/745,132		OSAMATO, AKIRA	4		
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Jacqueline Wil		2612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nations of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above, the maximum statuto are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ho ation. ays, a reply within the statutory ry period will apply and will expired by statute. cause the application	wever, may a reply be tim ninimum of thirty (30) days re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	r. Immunication.		
Status					• .		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n 04 October 2004.					
,	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□							
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.	<u>.</u>				
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen		_	_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	4) [Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Infori	re of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (F10- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC r No(s)/Mail Date	D/SB/08) 5) L		atent Application (PTO	⊢152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/745,132 Page 2

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 10/04/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that the prior art fails to interpolate the complementary color subarrays. The examiner strongly disagrees. Takizawa et al discloses in col. 8, lines 44+ that the various mosaic filters such as complementary colors are used (see fig. 4-6). Therefore, the rejection of Claim 3 is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Takizawa et al. (US 6,388,706).

Regarding Claim 3, Takizawa et al teaches an interpolator for the color subarrays of a complementary-color filtered array (7a; see also col. 8, lines 44+), a filter coupled to the output of the interpolator (referred to as performing white balance and gain

Application/Control Number: 09/745,132 Page 3

Art Unit: 2612

adjustments) to adjust the interpolated colors at each pixel by adjusting with an imbalance factor (coefficients Ar, Ag, and Ab) for the pixel (col. 13, lines 53- col. 14, line 23).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1 and 2 are allowed.

The prior art neither teaches nor fairly suggests a method of interpolation for a complementary-color-filtered array image, comprising the steps of:

- (a) provide a complementary-color-filtered array of pixel values with yellow pixel values Ye on a first subarray, cyan pixel values Cy on a second subarray, magenta pixel values Mg on a third subarray, and green pixel values G on a fourth subarray;
 - (b) interpolating the subarray of yellow pixel values to form a yellow array;
 - (c) interpolating the subarray of cyan pixel values to form a cyan array;
- (d) interpolating the subarray of magenta pixel values to form a magenta array;
 - (e) interpolating the subarray of green pixel values to form a green array;
 - (f) adjusting the color values for each pixel by
 - (i) subtracting a quantity (Ye+Cy-2*G-Mg)/4 from Ye to generate the pixel's adjusted yellow value where Ye is the pixel's yellow value from step (b), Cy is the pixel's cyan value from step (c), Mg is the pixel's

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/745,132

Art Unit: 2612

magenta value from step (d), and G is the pixel's green value from step (e);

- (ii) subtracting the quantity (Ye+Cy-2*G-Mg)/4 from Cy to generate the pixel's adjusted cyan value;
- (iii) adding the quantity (Ye+Cy-2*G-Mg)/4 to Mg to generate the pixel's adjusted magenta value; and
- (iv) adding the quantity (Ye+Cy-2*G-Mg)/8 to G to generate the pixel's adjusted green value, as claimed in claim 1.

Claim 2 is substantially similar to Claim 1.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/745,132

Art Unit: 2612

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacqueline Wilson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7322. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm (alternate Fridays off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber can be reached on (571) 272-7308. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JW 05/10/05

SUPERIORS OF CEITER 2500